

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW UPDATE APPLICATION

For Applications Covered By A Previously Completed CEQA Document

SUBMIT FOUR (4) COMPLETED COPIES AND A COPY OF THE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR AN ENVIRONMENTAL INITIAL STUDY (AEIS) TO THE DPLU ZONING COUNTER

Permit/Entitlement applications that are implementing all or portions of a project that was considered in a previous CEQA document (Negative Declaration or EIR) only require an update. The update consists of:

- 1) Ensuring that the application complies with all applicable environmentally related County Ordinances including, but not limited to, the Biological Mitigation Ordinance, Resource Protection Ordinance, Habitat Loss Permit Ordinance, and
- 2) A limited review of the previous CEQA document to determine if any changes to the project, changes in circumstances, or new information result in new or substantially more severe environmental effects that require additional CEQA analysis or documentation.

FOR COUNTY USE ONLY: Project Number(s):				
THIS FORM IS BEING C	OMPLETED E	BY:		
Name (Please Print)				
Agency (If applicable)	Title			Date
Address				
			()	()
City	State	Zip	Telephone Number	Fax Number
Project Location (including APN	1)			
and information require	ed for adequa information p tand that add	ite evaluati resented ai itional info	ion of this project to the re true and correct to the ormation may be required	ed exhibits present the data be best of my ability, that the be best of my knowledge and d to be submitted before an Date:

PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW DETAIL-Indicate all open and previously approved discretionary permit applications filed with the County of San Diego related to the existing application. Indicate the respective case numbers: [Contact the DPLU Zoning Counter for assistance at 1-(888)-267-8770] Yes No Unknown A stormwater management plan has been submitted with a prior related discretionary application. If yes, indicate application number(s): 3. Yes No Unknown Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) Findings/Biological Mitigation Ordinance (BMO) Conformance have been made with a prior related discretionary application. If yes, indicate application number(s): 4. California Department of Fish and Game Fees: If your project has an effect on native biological resources, State law requires the payment of a fee to the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) for their review of the document (Fish and Game Code §711.4). Generally, only one filing fee is required for each project. Please indicate the Fish and Game Fee Status of your project: Yes No Unknown The project has previously paid for Fish and Game Fees. If Yes, and a receipt of П the payment is available, please attach. Yes No The project has previously been found to have a "de minimis impact" on fish and wildlife resources. PROJECT DETAIL — I. FEATURES OF THE APPLICATION WHICH DEVIATE FROM, OR WERE NOT DETAILED IN THE PROJECT ANALYZED IN THE PREVIOUS CEQA DOCUMENT Describe all deviations of the current application from the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document. In cases where the project description in the previous CEQA document only generally recognizes the portion of the project covered by the current application, please provide additional detail. The narrative must be supplemented by a project plan or map of appropriate scale and legibility with all deviations "Redlined." Also include details such as any boundary adjustments, rezones, or general plan amendments which have involved the project site since the previous CEQA document was adopted/certified. If there are no deviations from the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document and the project description clearly details the specifics of the present application, please write "None." Lack of sufficient detail may result in project delay and the requirement to resubmit detailed project information. Use additional sheets if necessary.

	CODE ENFORCEMENT/VIOLATION ISSUES Describe any known open or active code enforcement/violation issues on the proposed project site. Indicate related Violation Numbers. If no open or active code enforcement/violation issues are present or known, please state "NONE" or "UNKNOWN", as appropriate. (Use additional sheets if necessary):					
		E NO	IMPROVEMENTS/UTILITY EXTENSIONS WHICH DEVIATE FROM, OR IT DETAILED IN THE PROJECT ANALYZED IN THE PREVIOUS CEQA NT			
	YES	NO	Are there any necessary off-site improvements/utility extensions either 1) not detailed in the earlier environmental review or 2) deviates from the off-site improvements/utility extensions shown in the previous environmental review? If "Yes", answer the following questions and make sure that any deviations are "redlined " on an attached exhibit/plan. Use additional sheets if necessary.			
			Is construction of new off-site streets or widening of existing off-site streets proposed which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, describe:			
			Is the extension of sewer/water/electric/gas lines proposed which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, describe (include distance to the nearest existing lines – in miles or feet, and the location of anticipated connection point):			
			Are new off-site drainage/stormwater/flood control facilities or improvements to the existing off-site drainage/stormwater/flood control facilities proposed which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, describe:			
			Are pedestrian and/or bicycle paths which deviate from or were not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document proposed?			

IV. GRADING WHICH DEVIATES FROM, OR WAS NOT DETAILED IN THE PROJECT ANALYZED IN THE PREVIOUS CEQA DOCUMENT

YES	NO	UNKNOWN	Is any necessary grading either 1) not detailed in the earlier environmental review or 2) deviates from the grading shown in the previous environmental review? If "Yes", answer the following questions and make sure that all deviations are "redlined " on an attached exhibit/plan. Use additional sheets if necessary.
			Will grading or filling, which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document, be required? If yes, discuss deviations from original plan:
			Original proposal: Vol. of cut: cubic yds. Max cut slope ratio: Max. height: ft. Vol. of fill: cubic yds. Max fill slope ratio: Max. height: ft.
			Current proposal: Vol. of cut: cubic yds. Max cut slope ratio: Max. height: ft. Vol. of fill: cubic yds. Max fill slope ratio: Max. height: ft.
			If soil is to be imported/exported please describe the source of import/export location, if known. (Use additional sheets if necessary):
			Is grading or filling of soil anticipated off-site which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? Explain (Use additional sheets if necessary):
			Is blasting which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document anticipated? If so, please indicate possible location of blasting sites on the grading plan & detail the areas expected to be blasted:
			Are retaining walls proposed which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, discuss deviations from original plan: (Show all retaining walls on site/plot plan or map)
			Original proposal: Max. height ft. Proposed Length ft.
			Current proposal: Max. height ft. Proposed Length ft.

V.	DETA DOC	POSED SITE UTILIZATION WHICH DEVIATES FROM, OR WAS NOT AILED IN THE PROJECT ANALYZED IN THE PREVIOUS CEQA UMENT			
	Yes	Is the project either a multi-family residential, commercial or industrial project <u>AND</u> were building characteristics either 1) not detailed in the earlier environmental review, or 2) deviates from the building characteristics shown in the previous environmental review? It "Yes", fill in only those areas below which deviate from the original project and make sure that these deviations/specifications are shown/"redlined " on an attached exhibit/plant Use additional sheets if necessary.			
	Origin 1.	nal proposal: Total area sq. ft.			
		Total net area (total minus area of public street and dedication) acres or sq. ft.			
2. Number of buildings Height Stories					
	3.	Number of attached residential units			
	4.	Number of floor area: Commercial uses Industrial uses:			
	5.	Number of off-street parking spaces			
	Curre 1.	nt proposal: Total area sq. ft.			
		Total net area (total minus area of public street and dedication) acres or sq. ft.			
	2.	Number of buildings Height Stories			
	3.	Number of attached residential units			
	4.	Number of floor area of: Commercial uses Industrial uses:			
	5.	Number of off-street parking spaces			
VI.	asso	IMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL SITE UTILIZATION Complete ONLY for proposed projects ociated with Commercial or Industrial development (including Day Care Centers and Cottage stries). Fill out to the extent known.			
	D	ROJECT OPERATIONS WHICH DEVIATE FROM, OR WERE NOT ETAILED IN THE PROJECT ANALYZED IN THE PREVIOUS CEQA OCUMENT			
		nal proposal: Number of average daily vehicle trips generated by the project			
	2.	Facilities to be open on weekdays from a.m. to p.m. On weekends from a.m. to p.m.			
	3.	Total number of employees Each Shift			
	4.	Number of clients, customers, or users EACH weekday			
	5.	Radius of the service area			
	6.	Total floor area square feet Type of uses			
	7.	Number of off-site parking spaces provided			
	8.	North American Standard Industrial Classification Code(s) (http://www.census.gov/epcd/www/naicstab.htm):			

Industrial of	develo	ERATIONS ppment (incomposal:	S (cont.) cluding Day	Complete ONLY for proposed projects associated with Commercial or Care Centers and Cottage Industries). Fill out to the extent known.
			erage daily	vehicle trips generated by the project
2.	Facilities to be open on weekdays from a.m. to p.m. On weekends from a.m. to p.m			eekdays from a.m. to p.m. On weekends from a.m. to p.m.
3.	Total number of employees Each Shift			ees Each Shift
4.	Nun	nber of clie	ents, custo	mers, or users EACH weekday
5.	Rad	lius of the	service are	ea
6.	Tota	al floor are	a	square feet
7.	Nun	nber of off-	-site parkir	ng spaces provided
8.	North American Standard Industrial Classification Code(s) (http://www.census.gov/epcd/www/naicstab.htm):			
Ţ	HE F	PROJEC NO UN	T ANAL	WHICH DEVIATES FROM, OR WAS NOT DETAILED IN YZED IN THE PREVIOUS CEQA DOCUMENT
1.			Ш	Will industrial waste be discharged which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, attach a discussion of the provisions for disposal.
2.				Will the project result in the use or discharge of hazardous materials including hazardous air emissions (i.e., chemicals, dust, smoke, etc.) which deviate from or were not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, attach a discussion of the pollutants mandated for control and any special permits required. Also answer the following (Use additional sheets if necessary): a. What type of material (s)
				b. How often?
D D	ETA	ILED IN JMENT		Could the project result in the emission of any substances or energy such as odor, vibration, glare, or electrical disturbance (including blasting) which deviate from or were not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document?
2.				Will the project contain any unique elements, such as industrial machinery, generators, exterior heavy work areas, outdoor speakers, heavy equipment operation that could generate substantial noise that could be heard outside the project which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? (Do not include common noise sources associated with all projects such as construction and traffic.)
3.				Will the project involve the storage of dangerous materials (e.g., liquefied petroleum gas) which deviate from or were not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, what safety measures have been taken? Use additional sheets if necessary.